

47



Telegrams: GOLF CLUB, BRANCASTER.
Telephone: BRANCASTER 223.
Passenger: MUNSTANTON.
Parcels: BURNHAM MARKET.

BRANCASTER,
KING'S LYNN.

Jan. 25th 1950

E.A. Garland Esqre
Acting Clerk to the Parish Council
Manor Farm House
Brancaster.

Dear Sir,

Annual Rent for Grazing Rights.

Thank you for your letter of Jan. 23rd in which you inform me that your Council favour supporting the Golf Club's request that the grazing rent for 1949 be treated as for 1948 - that is to say handed back by the Village.

Regarding a copy of the 1949 accounts and balance sheet the Accountants are now working on these and they are not expected to be finished for a week or two yet. Your Council shall, however, have a copy as soon as they are available.

Respecting the setting up of a joint Committee under a Chairman appointed by your Council, would it be your view that this joint Committee meet before or after the next Parish Meeting? I would appreciate an early reply to this question as it is expected that my General Committee will be meeting in a week or two and I would like to be able to give them a definite answer to that point.

Yours faithfully,

H. E. Nunn

*Next P. Council Meeting
to be before 15th March.*

Secretary.

Replied that in our opinion Joint Committee should be set up after the next Parish Meeting

By 21/1/50

AUDREY HOUSE,
ELY PLACE,
LONDON E.C.1.

18th April, 1950.

Dear Mr.

GOLF CLUB RENT.

I have now heard from the Secretary of the Golf Club that Sir Raymond Evershed and Mr. Winsloe have been elected by the Golf Club to meet us with a view to a new agreement on payment of the Golf Club rent.

So as to avoid a very long discussion when we eventually meet as a committee, I thought it might be helpful if I outlined my own ideas on the subject and give you an opportunity to consider the subject before the meeting.

First of all, I think the following principles should be looked at when considering each clause of the agreement :

- (1) The village is entitled to a reasonable payment for the loss of grazing rights but this payment must not be such as to prejudice the future prospects of the village Golf Club continuing to bring money into the village.
- (2) It follows that any agreement must be sufficiently flexible to keep the sum within the capability of the Golf Club to pay. I feel it undesirable that it should be necessary for the Golf Club to come to the village to ask for a remission or reduction of rent on grounds of financial difficulty. It would be much better to have suitable provisions in the agreement.
- (3) It seems to me that the members of the Golf Club would look more favourably on a payment which will produce some practical advantage for the village. At the same time this would obviously be far more profitable to the village as a whole than distribution of small sums as in the past.
- (4) In the past, payment has been based on the gross subscription income of the Club. With the tremendous increased costs of running a Golf course today, gross subscription income has had to be forced up, consequently, the old top limit in the agreement has merely become, or will become, in the event of the Golf Club remaining solvent, a fixed rental.

Based on this principle it seems to me that a very simple agreement could be drawn up for the benefit of both parties under the following headings :

-2-

21

1. NOTICE. Subject to _____ years notice on either side.
2. The Village to allow the Golf Club to maintain their ^{finances} ~~finances~~ as established at this date and to make reasonable variations.
3. The Golf Club to maintain the existing Village Golfers' rights.
4. The Golf Club to pay a rent of £ _____ per annum except when varied in accordance with paragraph 5.
5. When at least ⁵⁰% of debenture holders legally entitled to do so have waived their right to interest, rent payable shall be reduced in the proportion that the debenture interest actually paid bears to the total due had none been waived.

This paragraph at no time shall act to reduce the rent below £ _____ per annum.

6. The rent shall be payable annually to Trustees elected at the Annual Parish Meeting to be employed for the benefit of the village at the discretion of the Trustees except insofar as they are specifically instructed by a Parish meeting.

I have in mind calling a meeting of the whole committee about the middle of May. Unless you particularly wish to discuss this problem before hand I suggest that the Brancaster representatives arrange to meet, say, half an hour before the time for which the Golf Club representatives are invited, but if you disagree in principle to my suggestions please let me know so that we can present a reasonably united opinion.

Yours sincerely,



ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE,

W.C.2.

28th April, 1950.

Dear Sir

I think it may help you if I state what the present position is about the Golf Club Debentures. There are, as you know, two series of Debentures. First, the "A" Debentures and, second, the Sea Defence Debentures. The latter rank subject to the former. Of the former there is £1,260 outstanding carrying interest at 5%. The amount outstanding of the latter is £6,800 carrying interest at 4%. If, therefore, we paid the interest due in respect of all the Debentures, the total amount according to my mathematics would be £63 plus £372 = £435 ~~at all~~ *A.A.*

probably An agreement was made at the beginning of the last war for a moratorium in respect of the Sea Defence Debentures. According to my view of the law, this moratorium has, in fact, expired, but we have not made any payment, and it is now proposed to call another meeting and, in effect, extend the moratorium till the end of 1952.

As regards the "A" Debentures, the position has been much more difficult. We have asked individual Debenture Holders out of the goodness of their hearts to forego payment of interest: and many have done so. Others, however, (Executors, etc.) have not been able to make the concession, and in respect of the last year we paid £22 for interest, i.e. roughly one-third.

If things go well my feeling is that we should regard it as an obligation to pay the full amount of interest on the "A" Debentures and eventually make some payment at any rate (e.g. at 2%) on the Sea Defence Debentures: but for the immediate future it may be taken that we shall be paying, say, 33% of the interest on the "A" Debentures and only about 5% on the total Debentures.



ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE,
W.C.2.

28th April, 1950.

contd. . . .

I had a meeting of the Finance Committee here the other day and disclosed to them the broad idea that you had communicated to me for submitting to your colleagues. I also told them the kind of figures you personally had in mind. I hope that you will not regard this as an indiscretion but I told them in confidence, feeling that unless I did I should be in greater difficulty in getting adequate instructions. The Members of the Finance Committee are Philip Carr, Giles Scott and Floyd, in addition to myself.

I think you may take it that we all are very much attracted by the idea you are putting forward. I am inclined, however, to think that what we should like would be to bring the maximum and minimum closer together. In other words, I suggest that in all the circumstances, £120 per annum is too high but that the minimum of £5 per annum is too low. The sort of figures I have in mind (which I put to you for your consideration) is £80 and £20.

I much look forward to seeing you on the 13th.

Raymond [Signature]

Jason Borthwick Esq.D.S.C.,
Audrey House,
Ely Place, E.C.1.

c.s.t. E.A. Garland Esq.

5th Dec. 1951.

The Assistant District Auditor, R.D.C. Offices, DOCKING, Norfolk

Dear Mr. Blamire, AUDIT OF BRANCASTER PARISH COUNCIL ACCOUNTS 1950/51

Mr. Garland has passed to me, as I hold some of the documents, your letter of the 4th enquiring for further information about the Golf Club agreement.

This agreement has not yet been embodied in a formal document but is for all that legally binding as it is embodied in a chain of letters and has been approved by an Annual Parish Meeting.

I now enclose for your perusal the following documents:-

- 1. dated May 30th from the Secretary of the Royal West Norfolk Golf Club.
- 2. dated 31st May - my reply thereto.
- 3. dated June 2nd from the Sec. Royal West Norfolk Golf Club agreeing to mine of May 31st.

PTO

22nd June 1966

4. dated 7th June from the Master of the Rools who negotiated the agreement on behalf of the Committee of the Golf Club agreeing subject to two minor insertions.

The above documents constitute the formal evidence to the contracts. I shall much appreciate their early return, so that the Parish Council files may remain in order.

Yours faithfully,
Chairman Brancaster Parish Council

Chairman Brancaster Parish Council

Encls.

A. Garland, Esq.
The Farm,
Brancaster,
King's Lynn.

Dear Andre

Assistant
clear w

to sh
that
Golf
off

SM

374

9, Queen Street,
Norwich.

5th January 1952.

Dear Sir,

I thank you for your letter in connection with the agreement with the Golf Club.

It seems to me that whatever sum is paid by the Golf Club belongs to the commoners and that strictly the parish council should not be a party to the agreement with the Golf Club.

I suppose the difficulty is to find a way for the commoners to express themselves, or act as a body - whether the voice of the parish meeting is the voice of the commoners I do not know, but presumably it has been accepted as such.

I think what is clear is that the parish council has no right to receive the rent without the consent of the commoners, that the consent should be sought annually, and the receipt of the rent should be entered in the accounts as a contribution towards such and such expenses.

The audit of the accounts has now been completed and I have pleasure in returning your books.

Yours faithfully,



Assistant District Auditor.

Pages 161, 162 of Minutes

W.T. Borthwick Esq.,
Brancaster Staithe,
King's Lynn,
Norfolk.

Parish Council Meeting 7.4.52.

- ① Rent to be handed over to Trustees
- ② To be used for Playing Field.
- ③ Parish Council v. Trustees.





Mrs J E Lake
Brancaſter Pariſh Council
5 Mill Hill
Brancaſter
Kings Lynn
Norfolk
PE31 8AQ

Direct line +44 (0)191 383 6348
Email local.councils@mazars.co.uk

23 February 2017

Dear Mrs Lake

Brancaſter Pariſh Council

Thank you for your letter and enclosure dated 20 February 2017.

On the basis of the enclosed letter alone, it is impossible for us to know what the issue was in 1952, and whether or not it still impacts on the annual return. It does sound as if the Parish Council may be the sole trustees to a Trust Fund referred to in the letter as 'the commoners' and the golf club rent may have been ring-fenced for maintaining playing fields. However, unless the legal agreement setting this up can be found, which may be the same as the rent agreement or a prior document, it would be difficult to confirm this.

If it was a Trust Fund then the correct course of action would be to open a separate bank account for the Fund and to exclude the income and expenditure from the annual return, whilst answering the relevant questions on trust funds in the annual return to recognise they are applicable after all.

In 2015/16 it looks like the Council accounted for £100 of golf club rent as 'other receipts'. The Council may wish to discuss the matter with the Monitoring Officer of the relevant district council (especially if the query has been prompted by an elector alleging that the Parish has no right to the rent), but given the low value we are not inclined to take any action ourselves to investigate the status of the rent and possible trust fund, and will not be charging the council extra in connection with it. This would only change if additional evidence to clarify the status was provided to us.

I hope this clarifies our position in this matter.

Yours sincerely

Ross Woodley
Manager

Mazars LLP - The Rivergreen Centre - Aykley Heads - Durham - DH1 5TS
Tel: +44 (0)191 383 6300 - Fax: +44 (0)191 383 6350 - www.mazars.co.uk

Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Mazars, an integrated international advisory and accountancy organisation. Mazars LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC308299 and with its registered office at Tower Bridge House, St Katharine's Way, London E1W 1DD.
Registered to carry on audit work in the UK and Ireland by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales. Details about our audit registration can be viewed at www.audregister.org.uk under reference number CO0139867.
VAT number: 839 6326 73



Praxi
MEMBER
OF DELOITTE ALLIANCE

EDWARD HARRIS SOLICITOR

TREDEGAR FAWR
LLANGYFELACH
SWANSEA SA5 7LS



TELEPHONE 01792 772505 DX 56752 MORRISTON

FAX NUMBER 01792 772553

E-MAIL:- edwardharris@ehlaw.co.uk

www.edwardharris-law.co.uk

Our Ref: EKH.JS.COO 001.1

Your Ref:

1st June 2020

Mrs Michelle Wroth
Clerk
Brancaster Parish Council

BY EMAIL: brancaster.council@outlook.com

Dear Ms Wroth

**Re: Registered Common lands at Brancaster
Scott Head and District Common Rights Holders Association (SH&DCRA) – 'the
Association'**

Could you please note that I have received instructions from certain of the officers and committee of SH&DCRA (the Association). I am firstly asked to mention that at recent meetings of the committee and the Association, Mr Chris Cotton and Mr Stephen Bocking, respectively Chair and Vice Chair who are Parish Councillors, declared their interest in the discussions and in the instructions being given to me, and the queries to be raised of your Parish Council.

I have been asked to raise the below mentioned matters with you.

As you will be aware, there is registered under the provisions of the Commons Registration Act 1965 (now replaced by the Commons Act 2006) areas of common land which include CL124 on part of which Royal West Norfolk Golf Club play golf.

Many years ago the Golf Club wanted to erect a fence to control the movement of animals from the open common onto the golf course – this being carried out by the Golf Club in accordance with an agreement of 1902 reached with the Commoners whereby the Parish Council were voted to be Trustees to hold compensation monies to be paid to the commoners and the inhabitant householders for allowing the erection of the fence and the playing of golf on that part of the common. At that stage, presumably, all inhabitant householders within the manor were entitled to graze their animals on the open common lands. Since then, of course, we have had the Commons Registration Act 1965 which provides that only those persons with rights registered in the Rights Section of the Register are entitled to exercise common rights.

The Parish Council, as Trustee, still continue, however, to receive monies from the Golf Club, which I understand was initially a sum of £50 per annum or 4% of the gross receipt of the golf club's income for the previous year, whichever was larger. It is understood that the Parish Council are receiving from the Royal West Norfolk Golf Club in respect of this agreement a sum of £100 a year?



I would be grateful, therefore, if you could let me have full details of how much the income received from RWNGC in this respect and how it is calculated?; presumably, at today's rates it should be based on the 4% of the gross income and presumably the Parish Council, as Trustees holding and responsible for collecting these monies for the and on behalf of the commoners have taken full advice to obtain sight of the accounts of the golf club to ensure that they are obtaining 4% of the gross income of the previous year?

I would be grateful if you could also indicate how these monies are held and what bank account are they held in by the Parish Council as Trustee for the benefit of the registered commoners of the common lands, CL124.

I am also instructed that your Parish Council have entered into agreements with the Royal West Norfolk Golf Club to allow the parking of cars on a further part of the common, such monies being further compensation due to the commoners for the use of the common for car parking and disturbance of their rights, and presumably such monies are again held by the Parish Council as Trustees for those persons having registered common rights over the registered common.

I further understand that the Parish Council have received, as Trustees for the said commoners from the Golf Club, monies for allowing and agreeing for car parking to take place on the registered common in the following sums:

March 2013	£7,314.00
March 2014	£9,397.00
March 2015	£14,907.00
March 2016	£18,591.00
March 2017	£20,913.00
March 2018	£20,460.00
March 2019	£20,000.00
March 2020	£27,000.00 (estimated)

I would be grateful if you could confirm, therefore, where these monies are banked as trust funds and could you please confirm whether any of these monies have been spent for the betterment of the common or protection and enhancement of the common rights? Could you please supply me with a breakdown of what monies have been spent, where they have been spent and with what authority they have been spent.

It seems that these monies are trust monies, and therefore, what meetings have been held with the beneficiaries of those monies (the commoners with registered rights of common) by your Parish Council as Trustee to decide and agree how these monies should be spent to protect the remainder of the common lands and common rights.

I haven't considered the position of the Parish Council as being entitled to hold such sums of trust money, but that is something that we can look at when I receive the above information from you.

I would be pleased to hear from you with full information.

Yours sincerely,

Edward Harris

c.c. Rod Cooke, Secretary SH&DCRA

	The Braucester Parish Council held a meeting on Wednesday, 17 th Feb. 1965 at 8 o'clock in the school.
Present	W ^s Gibbs was in the chair, Messrs Bunkle, Borthwick, Rawlinson, Everitt, Southland Snelling + Johnson.
An Apology Minutes	was received from Miss Sumpter. of the last meeting were read & signed. W ^s Gibbs read a letter from W ^s Hasselhuber in reply to that from the council conveying sympathy on the loss of, W. Hasselhuber, the treasurer. W ^s Gibbs said the council would greatly miss his help and advice which he had, at all times, so willingly given.
W. Hasselhuber. late Treasurer.	The chairman reported night soil collection was in operation in both villages now.
Matters arising from minutes Night Soil Carts Eastern Elect.	Letters had been received from the Eastern Electricity Board acknowledging the request for further lights, but stating that owing to pressure of work these might be delayed. W. Bunkle reported the lights are installed, but so far have not been used.
R.W.N.C.C.	The secretary had replied to the letter requesting a copy of their car park constitution & in his reply told there is no "constitution" but all negotiations between the parish council & Golf Club appear in the minutes of 3 rd April 1956. Chairman W. Jason Borthwick.
agenda No 1	Braucester Memorial Trust Management Com.

A Parish Meeting was held in the Brancaster V.P. School on Tuesday 3rd April 1956 at 7. pm
Over 50 people attended - the subject under discussion was:-

The Beach bar Park.

Minutes of the previous meeting were read and signed.

Mr. Borthwick was in the chair and he explained that the meeting had been called to obtain the approval of the Parish to the proposals of the Golf Club, which has now been accepted by the Golf Club, for a joint venture to provide a bar Park. The terms of the agreement as follows:-

1. The Golf Club will undertake the provision of the full capital sum required, not exceeding £400.
2. The profits, after meeting current expenses, shall first be applied in repayment of the Bank loan, with interest, which shall be done as early as possible subject only to special consideration of the tax aspects so that as little as possible shall be made.
3. Any remaining profit, subject to a management fee of £50 payable to the Golf Club, shall be paid - 25% to the Parish Council and 75% to the Golf Club.
4. The Golf Club will manage the bar Park with 2 representatives from them and 1 representative from the Parish Council as the committee. (2 representatives from the Parish Council to be on the committee but only one of these attending a meeting at any one time).

The chairman explained that the Parish Council is prohibited by law from borrowing money or using any funds at its disposal for work of this sort, which Statute intends should be run by a higher authority who will, of course, also take the whole of the profit. It therefore seems very satisfactory for the Golf Club to raise the necessary money so that at least a part of the profit will be made.

A Parish Meeting was held in the School on Tuesday 3rd April 1956 at 7. pm
 Over 50 people attended - the subject under discussion was:-

The Beach bar Park.

Minutes of the previous meeting were read and signed.
 Mr. Borthwick was in the chair and he explained that the meeting had been called to obtain the approval of the Parish to the proposals of the Golf Club, which had now been accepted by the Golf Club, for a joint venture to provide a bar Park. The terms of the agreement as follows:-

1. The Golf Club will undertake the provision of the full capital sum required, not exceeding £400.
2. The profits, after meeting current expenses, shall first be applied in repayment of the Bank loan, with interest, which shall be done as early as possible subject only to special consideration of the tax aspects so that as little as possible shall be made.
3. Any remaining profit, subject to a management fee of £50 payable to the Golf Club; shall be paid - 25% to the Parish Council and 75% to the Golf Club.
4. The Golf Club will manage the bar Park with 2 representatives from them and 1 representative from the Parish Council or the committee. (2 representatives from the Parish Council to be on the committee but only one of these attending a meeting at any one time).

The Chairman explained that the Parish Council is prohibited by law from borrowing money or using any funds at its disposal for work of this sort, which Statute intends should be run by a higher authority who will, of course, also take the whole of the profit. It therefore seems very satisfactory for the Golf Club to raise the necessary money so that at least a share of the profits will be retained in the village; this profit will be compensation for grazing rights.

213

As a considerable expense for clerical and management duties will be incurred, the first £50 for the golf club when a profit is made seems quite a reasonable request. Any claim for expenses would be waived if no profit is made. The Parish Council, through its representatives, would continue to have considerable say in the matter. Mr. Borthwick said that this Parish Meeting had been called only as a matter of courtesy as it is within the capacity of the Parish Council to make any arrangement which can place on the Parish no risk of loss, also it is very difficult to estimate what value would be placed on the grazing rights should the golf club decide to continue the scheme without any participation by the Parish and buy the rights outright. If the golf club decided not to proceed the Rural District Council would be forced to recommend a Council operated car park over the area and the compensation payable to the golf club and Parish would be practically nil. The Chairman asked for any suggestions or questions regarding the whole of the scheme.

Mrs Sutherland, Lady of the Manor, asked whether the cars would be directed into the car Park over any of her ground - if so, what about her feetoh rights?

The Chairman pointed out that any car may drive on common land within 15 yards of the Highway and the ground owned by Mr. Sutherland in the car Park would not be more than this. A map of the proposed car Park had been sent to Mrs Sutherland.

Mr. Thomas proposed that the Council ask for equal representation of the Committee of Management.

Mr. Johnson seconded the proposal, which was carried.

Mr. Johnson asked why the golf club paid for grazing rights if they could go ahead on the car Park on their own. Mr. Borthwick said that the golf club paid for being on the

As a considerable expense for clerical and management duties will be incurred, the first £50 for the Golf Club when a profit is made seems quite a reasonable request. Any claim for expenses would be waived if no profit is made. The Parish Council, through its representatives, would continue to have considerable say in the matter. Mr. Borthwick said that this Parish Meeting had been called only as a matter of courtesy as it is within the capacity of the Parish Council to make any arrangement which can place on the Club no risk of loss, also it is very difficult to estimate what value would be placed on the grazing rights should the Golf Club decide to continue the scheme without any participation by the Parish and buy the rights outright. If the Golf Club decides not to proceed the Rural District Council would be forced to recommend a Council operated car park over the area and the compensation payable to the Golf Club and Parish would be practically nil. The Chairman asked for any suggestions or questions regarding the whole of the scheme.

Mr. Sutherland, Lady of the Manor, asked whether the cars would be directed into the car park over any of her ground - if so, what about her freehold rights?

The Chairman pointed out that any car may drive on common land within 15 yards of the Highway and the grounds owned by Mr. Sutherland in the car park would not be more than this. A map of the proposed car park had been sent to Mr. Sutherland.

Mr. Thomas proposed that the Council ask for equal representation of the Committee of Management.

Mr. Johnson seconded the proposal, which was carried.

Mr. Johnson asked why the Golf Club paid for grazing rights if they could go ahead on the car park on their own.

Mr. Borthwick said that the Golf Club paid for fencing off the grazing rights.

Mr. Bondra asked what rights parishioners have on the golf course.
Mr. Borthwick replied that they have grazing rights and these are let to the golf club. The Parish may kill the golf club that they will not allow them to fence in the grazing rights.

Mr. Raven asked what would be the number of cars.

Mr. Borthwick said that a census had shown that over 2,000 people used the Beach Road in 1955 but they cannot be forced to enter the bar park.

Mr. Stuart said that in his opinion this would be a money making proposition and he asked whether the percentage of the profit is sufficient to cover the grazing rights involved.

Mr. Tomlinson said that the grazing rights would be of little value as the area involved amounts to approximately one hundredth part of the golf club land. As the village receives only £50 per year for the whole of this its value would be roughly 10/-.

Mr. Stuart asked whether stakes or some obstruction could be put in thus making it impossible for motorists to park on the road.

Mr. Borthwick said that the bureau through the Highway Authority could possibly get a kerb on one side of the road with danger signs marking safe spots.

Mr. Thomas asked whether a private company could be formed and share 50/50 in costs and everything.

The Chairman pointed out that this had been an alternative suggestion which he had himself put forward to the Parish Council. This had been found to be too complicated and, in view of the tax which would become payable, not a good proposition.

Mr. Tomlinson asked whether club owners would have to park cars in the bar park.

Mr. Borthwick said that special tickets could be issued.

Mr. Gordon asked what rights parishioners have on the golf course.
Mr. Borthwick replied that they have grazing right and these are let to the golf club. The Parish may tell the golf club that they will not allow them to fence in the grazing rights.

Mr. Raven asked what would be the number of cars.

Mr. Borthwick said that a census had shown that over 2,000 per week used the Beach Road in 1955 but they cannot be forced to enter the bar park.

Mr. Stuart said that in his opinion this would be a money making proposition and he asked whether the percentage of the profit is sufficient to cover the grazing rights involved.

Mr. M. Ambrose said that the grazing right would be of little value as the area involved amounts to approximately one hundredth part of the golf club land. As the village receives only £50 per year for the whole of this its value would be roughly 10/-.

Mr. Stuart asked whether stakes or some obstruction could be put in thus making it impossible for motorists to park on the road.

Mr. Borthwick said that the barrier through the Highway Authority could possibly get a kerb on one side of the road with danger signs marking safe spots.

Mr. Thomas asked whether a private company could be formed and share 50/50 in roads and everything.

The Chairman pointed out that this had been an alternative suggestion which he had himself put forward to the Parish Council. This had been found to be too complicated and, in view of the tax which would become payable, not a good proposition.

Mr. Bellis asked whether club owners would have to park cars in the bar park.

Mr. Borthwick said that special tickets would be issued to Parishioners and club owners.

215

Mr Johnson asked why people had been stopped by the Golf Club from going along the Marsh side of the Golf house.

Mr Barthwick said that no parishioner had, so far as he knew, been stopped, the object of stopping outsiders had been to keep the Golf house as private as possible.

Mr Jang said that there is a right of way across the Golf house as his father had carted seaweed off the beach via this roadway.

Mr Whistler explained the rights of way to the parishioners and he pointed out that the gateway still exists and the gate has now been replaced by chains and hooks.

Mr Thomas asked whether this agreement would be a permanent one. He was assured that it would.

Mr Johnson asked why the Artisans Club had been barred from playing golf ~~except~~ except for certain times.

Mr Lawer said that this has now been settled. It was agreed that this was a matter entirely between the Golf Club and the Artisans Club and had no bearing on the subject under review.

The scheme was put to the vote by a show of hands. 34s for and 10 against the scheme.

Commons Rights

The Chairman read a letter from the Rural District Council re Commons Rights. This was a form of questionnaire and he was of the opinion that certain adjustments would be made.

Mr Bunker asked whether a copy of the Rights could be displayed for the parishioners to read.

Mr Barthwick said that the original copies were, in part, unreadable but he would read them as best he could if the parishioners required. He pointed out that this would take some time but he would be the administrator if

Mr. Johnson asked why people had been stopped by the golf club from going along the Marsh side of the golf course. Mr. Borthwick said that no parishioner had, so far as he knew, been stopped, the object of stopping outsiders had been to keep the golf course as private as possible.

Mr. Jang said that there is a right of way across the golf course as his father had carted peat off the beach via this roadway.

Mr. Whistler explained the rights of way to the parishioners and he pointed out that the gateway still exists and the gate has now been replaced by chains and hooks.

Mr. Thomas asked whether this agreement would be a permanent one. He was assured that it would.

Mr. Johnson asked why the Artisans Club had been barred from playing golf ~~except~~ except for certain times.

Mr. Baser said that this has now been settled. It was agreed that this was a matter entirely between the golf club and the Artisans Club and had no bearing on the subject under review.

The scheme was put to the vote by a show of hands. 34s for and 10 against the scheme.

Commons Rights

The chairman read a letter from the Rural District Council re commons rights. This was a form of questionnaire and he was of the opinion that certain adjustments would be made.

Mr. Bunker asked whether a copy of the rights could be displayed for the parishioners to read.

Mr. Borthwick said that the original copies were, in part, unreadable but he would read them as best he could if the parishioners required. He pointed out that this would take some three hours. Re the questionnaire, it was proposed that the basis of calculation for the rights of

216

a commoner should be any person living in a property with a rateable value not exceeding £25 instead of the old value of £5. Under the existing Act no person could claim to be a commoner.

Mr. Johnson asked why Mr. Adams had disputed the footpaths across Barrow Common, if there is a right for anybody to walk there. Mr. Barthwick said that the general public have no right only by footpaths and it was the general public who would be prevented from crossing the common, not parishioners.

New Highway.

Mr. Barthwick explained to the village that a new coastal road ~~400~~ 400 ft wide is to be built from Hunstanton to Lome.

Docking R.D.C. have the plans for this and parishioners are advised to go and see these, making any complaints or alternative suggestions they may wish.

The meeting closed 8.45 pm.

W. J. Barthwick

Parish Meeting.

The Annual General Meeting of the Braucaster Parish Council took place in the Braucaster Staithe Village Hall on the 12th April 1965. The meeting commenced at 7.30 p.m. and in addition to most members of the parish council 16 parishioners attended.

Present.

Chairman
President

The meeting was opened by W^o Chadwick who asked for nominations for a ^{Chairman} ~~President~~ for the coming year. W. A. Sutherland proposed & W. H. Johnson seconded W^o R. Gibbs be invited to preside again. This proposition was passed unanimously & W^o Gibbs thanked the parishioners for their confidence in her & accepted the chair.

Minutes

The minutes of the last parish meeting were read & confirmed.

W^o Hasselhuber

W^o Gibbs said how very sorry she and all members of the parish council were at the sudden death of the Hon. Treasurer, W. Hasselhuber. W^o Gibbs said the help & advice he had given so unstintingly & willingly would be sadly missed.

Braucaster
Manorial Rights

The chairman then gave a résumé of the work of the past year & reminded the parishioners of the sale of the Braucaster Manorial Rights & told that the rights are now held by a body of people who had formed a committee known as the Braucaster Manorial Rights Trust committee & she reported that,

R.W.N. Golf Club
Car Park.

To date, amicable agreement had been reached on all points of discussion. The chairman told the meeting that W. B. Borthwick had applied for permission to develop 9 $\frac{1}{4}$ acres of his land at Braucester to form a car park which would eventually hold 1,500 cars. Meanwhile the R.W.N. Golf Club Car park is to carry on with the Memorial Trust committee taking 20%, Parish Council 20% & the Golf Club 60% of the profits from the car park. When the new car park is running it is proposed by W. B. Borthwick to form a committee with representatives from all bodies concerned & run these two car parks jointly.

New Lavatories

The Braucester Parish Council had asked D.R.D.C to provide extra lavatories on the beach, these were refused. D.R.D.C have made it a condition with W. B. Borthwick that he erects six lavatories on his site. The estimated cost of these is £3,000.

Posts & Gate.

W^o Gibbs reported that posts & a gate had been erected by the B. M. Rights Trust comm. & that cars will not be allowed on the beach in future.

Ramps:

W. Everett asked for reassurance that ramps proposed by W. Borthwick should not be used to carry cars on to the beach & W^o Gibbs told him they were